DOCKET FILE COPY TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

IN THE MATTER OF:

EB DOCKET NO. 04-28

J. COMMUNICATIONS CO., LTD.

FCC-OALJ RGD

DATE OF HEARING: APRIL 7, 2004

VOLUME: 1

PLACE OF HEARING: WASHINGTON, D.C.

PAGES: 1-13

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

Pre-Hearing Conference

IN THE MATTER OF:

J. COMMUNICATIONS CO., LTD.

Grantee of Equipment Authorization for General Mobile Radio Service Radios, FCC ID# OAJFRI-GPS EB Docket 04-28

EB-02-TS-588 FRN #0005-8369-60

Wednesday, April 7, 2004

Hearing Room TWA-363 Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE ARTHUR I. STEINBERG

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Federal Communications Commission:

GARY OSHINSKY, ESQ. Enforcement Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-7160

I-N-D-E-X

WITNESS

<u>DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS</u>

Katherine Berthot
By Judge Steinberg 9

JUDGE'S EXHIBITS

IDENTIFIED RECEIVED

1 Letter from J Communications to FCC dated 2/12/2004 6 7

2 Letter from FCC to J
Communications dated 2/17/2004 7 7

Start Time: 9:03 a.m. End Time: 9:12 a.m.

P-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

۱ ۲	F-K-O-C-E-E-D-1-N-G-2
2	9:03 a.m.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: We're on the record now.
4	This is a pre-hearing conference in EB Docket No. 04-
5	28, which was designated for hearing by Order to Show
6	Cause FCC 04-24 released February 9th, 2004.
7	The issues in this proceeding seek to

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proceeding seek to determine whether certain radios manufactured by J Communications Company, Limited, pursuant to an FCC equipment certification violate the Commission's rules and/or explicit of the equipment the terms authorization and whether this equipment authorization By order, FCC 04M-07 released should be revoked. February 12th, 2004, the chief administrative law judge assigned this case to me and scheduled the initial prehearing conference for this morning.

Let me first take the appearances for the parties. For J Communications Company, Limited? Let the record reflect no response.

And for the Chief Enforcement Bureau? MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor, for Enforcement Bureau, Gary Oshinsky.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Thank you. You don't have to stand.

MR. OSHINSKY: Oh, okay.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: This is 9:00 in the
2	morning.
3	MR. OSHINSKY: It's too early for that.
4	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, it's too early for
5	respect.
6	MR. OSHINSKY: Although we have plenty of
7	it.
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: Thank you. Thank you.
9	Let me just note for the record that William D.
10	Freedman is here sitting in the audience. I always
11	like to acknowledge those sitting in the audience or
12	famous people and notorious and things like that and
13	Bill certainly is famous and notorious.
14	MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor, this is Ms.
16	Kathy Berthot, if I'm pronouncing it correctly.
17	MS. BERTHOT: That's correct.
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: B-E-R-T-H-O-T, right?
19	MS. BERTHOT: Yes.
20	MR. OSHINSKY: Right. And she is from the
21	Spectrum Enforcement Division of the Enforcement
22	Bureau.
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: I think we spoke very
24	early on in this case and I was going to acknowledge
25	you next, but you're not as notorious as Mr. Freedman,

1 so he deserves special mention and perhaps you can --2 perhaps the reporting company could put his name on in 3 all caps. 4 Back to the serious business at Okay. 5 hand. The Order to Show Cause was mailed to J 6 Communications by registered mail, return receipt 7 requested. And let me ask Mr. Oshinsky if there's any record that the Commission received the return 8 9 receipt? Your Honor, there's no 10 MR. OSHINSKY: 11 indication in our records that we did receive the 12 return receipt. JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. We discussed this 13 a little bit before we went on the record, but you do 14 15 have evaluation that J Communications did in fact receive the Show Cause? 16 We OSHINSKY: Yes, Your Honor. 17 received a letter. Ms. Berthot received a letter 18 indicating that the Order to Show Cause was received 19 by J Communications and in fact acknowledging receipt 20 21 in so many words. Okay. And then before JUDGE STEINBERG: 22 the prehearing conference you gave me two documents 23 be on the letterhead of 24 appears to and one Communications which is located in Korea and it's to 25

1	the FCC, attention Kathy Berthot dated February 12,
2	2004. And then there was a response by Ms. Berthot
3	dated February 17th on FCC letterhead.
4	I think the document from J Communications
5	will show that they did receive the Order to Show
6	Cause. In fact, they stated they have received it.
7	What I'm going to do is, is this is kind
8	of unusual for a prehearing conference, but I'm going
9	to mark these two documents as exhibits. And you want
LO	to do Enforcement Bureau exhibits or I'll make them a
11	judge's exhibit.
L2	MR. OSHINSKY: Making them judge's
L3	exhibits, Your Honor.
L4	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Makes me feel
15	important to have my own exhibits. Okay. Judge's
1.6	Exhibit 1 is a one-page document. It looks like a
17	fax. It says JCOM at the top with a little logo there
18	and it's faxed to the FCC dated February 12th, 2004.
19	That would be identified as Judge's Exhibit 1.
20	(Whereupon, the document was
21	marked as Judge's Exhibit 1 for
22	identification.)
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: And Judge's Exhibit 2
24	will be identified. It's a one-page exhibit on the
25	letterhead of the Federal Communications Commission to

1	J Communications dated February 17, 2004 and it's
2	signed by Joseph P. Casey.
3	(Whereupon, the document was
4	marked as Judge's Exhibit 2 for
5	identification.)
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: And I presume there's no
7	objection to receiving these?
8	MR. OSHINSKY: No, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. So Judge's
10	Exhibits 1 and 2 are received.
11	(Whereupon, the documents
12	marked as Judge's Exhibits 1
13	and 2 were received in
14	evidence.)
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: This also is unusual.
16	Ms. Berthot, why don't you just sit up here and I'm
17	going to swear you in and then Mr. Oshinsky can ask
18	you what these two documents are and then that way
19	we'll have sworn testimony and I don't think there
20	will be any problem.
21	Let me give you Judge's Exhibits 1 and 2.
22	MS. BERTHOT: I have copies of them.
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, you have them?
24	MS. BERTHOT: Yes.
25	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. So, could you
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	raise your right hand please?
2	KATHERINE BERTHOT
3	was called as a witness and having been first duly
4	sworn, assumed the witness stand and was examined and
5	testified as follows:
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: State your name please?
7	THE WITNESS: Katherine Berthot.
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: And your position at the
9	FCC?
LO	THE WITNESS: I'm deputy Chief of the
L1	Spectrum Enforcement Division of the Enforcement
L2	Bureau.
L3	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Thank you. Could
L4	you look at Judge's Exhibit 1.
L5	You want to ask the questions, Mr.
16	Oshinsky?
17	MR. OSHINSKY: I'll defer to the Court.
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
19	MR. OSHINSKY: Whichever you prefer.
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: Because I mean I'm out
21	of practice. It's been so long since I asked
22	questions other than, you know, "Where's the
23	bathroom," or something like that. But anyway
24	MR. FREEDMAN: It's like riding a bike.
25	JUDGE STEINBERG: in a strange

1	building. What?
2	MR. FREEDMAN: It's like riding a bike.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, thank you. Thank
4	you, Mr. Freedman.
5	Could you look at Judge's Exhibit 1 and
6	tell us what that is?
7	THE WITNESS: This is a facsimile we
8	received from J Communications on February 12th, 2004.
9	They indicate in the fax that they received the Order
10	to Show Cause and they asked a few questions
11	concerning the order.
12	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. And it's directed
13	to your attention, the fax is?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: And you did receive it?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
17	JUDGE STEINBERG: Did there come a time
18	when you responded to it?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: And is that what Judge's
21	Exhibit 2 is?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes. I prepared Judge's
23	Exhibit for a letter dated February 17, 2004, which
24	responds to the questions, questions asked by J
25	Communications in Judge's Exhibit 1. I prepared this

1	letter for Joe Casey's signature.
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. And then the
3	letter came from the files of the Commission?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Anything you want
6	to ask, Mr. Oshinsky?
7	MR. OSHINSKY: No, Your Honor. Nothing
8	further.
9	JUDGE STEINBERG: Anything you want to
10	add?
11	THE WITNESS: No.
12	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Based upon
13	Judge's Exhibits 1 and 2 and the testimony, it's
14	pretty clear to me this is overkill. It's pretty
15	clear to me that the Order to Show Cause was received
16	by J Communications.
17	Section 1.91(c) of the Commission's rules,
18	as well as paragraph 8 of the Order to Show Cause
19	require J Communications to file a written appearance
20	stating that it will appear at the hearing and present
21	evidence on the specified issues.
22	Pursuant to paragraph 8 of the Order to
23	Show Cause, the written appearance was required to be
24	filed within 30 days of the release of the Order to
25	Show Cause. And since the Order to Show Cause was

1	released on February 9th, 2004, the Notice of
2	Appearance was due to be filed on or before March
3	10th, 2004.
4	I've got no record of a Notice of
5	Appearance being filed. Have you seen any such
6	document?
7	MR. OSHINSKY: No, Your Honor, no
8	indication.
9	JUDGE STEINBERG: Ms. Berthot?
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Given those
12	circumstances, under Section 1.92(a)(1) of the
13	Commission's rules and paragraph 8 of the Order to
14	Show Cause, the failure to file a Notice of Appearance
15	constitutes a waiver of the right to a hearing and
16	therefore, under Section 1.92(c) of the rules and
17	paragraph 8 of the Order to Show Cause, I will issue
18	an order citing the events or circumstances
19	constituting the waiver. I'll terminate the
20	proceeding and certify this case to the Commission for
21	disposition.
22	Any comments on any of that?
23	MR. OSHINSKY: No, Your Honor. We believe
24	that the Court's proposed action is appropriate.
25	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okav. Any reason why we

1	shouldn't conclude the conference now?
2	MR. OSHINSKY: No, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Then we'll
4	conclude the conference and I'll issue the order when
5	I want. Okay. We'll go off the record now. Thank
6	you.
7	(Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at
8	9:12 a.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	·
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

J. COMMUNICATIONS CO)., LTD.
Name of Hearing	
EB DOCKET NO. 04-28	
Docket No. (if appli	cable)
445 12 th STREET, S.W	., WASHINGTON, D.C.
Place of Hearing	
APRIL 7, 2004	
Date of Hearing	
numbers 1 through 13 transcript prepared John Mongover the above identified provisions of the cuprofessional verbation and have verified the (1) comparing the tyrecording accomplish proofed typewritten	do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, B, inclusive, are the true, accurate and complete from the reporting by (Reporter's Name) in attendance at hearing, in accordance with applicable arrent Federal Communications Commission's m reporting and transcription statement of Work he accuracy of the accuracy of the transcript by repewritten transcript against the reporting or hed at the hearings and (2) comparing the final transcript against the reporting or recording hearing or conference. John Mongoven Legible Name and Signature of Reporter
Date	Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
April 21, 2004	Judy Hadley Judy Hadley
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.
April 21, 2004	Kevin Murphy Whate
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.